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## INTRODUCTION

This Traffic Impact Study was prepared to investigate the potential impacts of the proposed Spark Car Wash on the adjacent roadway network. The subject property has frontage along Georges Road and Milltown Road in North Brunswick Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey. The site location is shown on appended Figure 1 .

The subject property is designated as Block 203, Lot 4 as depicted on the North Brunswick Township Tax Map. The site has approximately 194 feet of frontage along Milltown Road and approximately II3 feet of frontage along Georges Road. The existing site is occupied by a drive-in bank with four (4) windows. Access is presently provided via one (I) full-movement driveway along Milltown Road and one (I) ingress-only driveway and one (I) egress-only driveway along Georges Road. Under the proposed development program, the existing structures would be razed and a 4,84I-square-foot automated car wash consisting of one (I) car wash tunnel would be constructed. Access along Georges Road is proposed to remain via one (I) ingress-only driveway and one (I) egress-only driveway and access along Milltown Road is proposed via one (I) right-in/right-out driveway.

## METHODOLOGY

Stonefield Engineering \& Design, LLC has prepared this Traffic Impact Study in accordance with the recommended guidelines and practices outlined by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) within Transportation Impact Analyses for Site Development. A detailed field investigation was performed to assess the existing conditions of the adjacent roadway network. A data collection effort was completed to identify the existing traffic volumes at the study intersections to serve as a base for the traffic analyses. Capacity analysis, a procedure used to estimate the traffic-carrying ability of roadway facilities over a range of defined operating conditions, was performed using the Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (HCM) and the Synchro II Software for all study conditions to assess the roadway operations.

For an unsignalized intersection, Level of Service (LOS) A indicates operations with delay of less than 10 seconds per vehicle, while LOS F describes operations with delay in excess of 50 seconds per vehicle. For a signalized intersection, LOS A indicates operations with delay of less than 10 seconds per vehicle, while LOS F describes operations with delay in excess of 80 seconds per vehicle. The Technical Appendix contains the Highway Capacity Analysis Detail Sheets for the study intersections analyzed in this assessment. The traffic signal timing utilized within the signalized analysis is based on timing directives provided by the New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT).

## 2023 EXISTING CONDITION

## 2023 EXISTING ROADWAY CONDITIONS

The proposed Spark Car Wash has frontage along Georges Road and Milltown Road in North Brunswick Township, Middlesex County, New Jersey. The subject property is designated as Block 203, Lot 4 as depicted on the North Brunswick Township Tax Map. The site has approximately 194 feet of frontage along Milltown Road and approximately II3 feet of frontage along Georges Road. Land uses in the area are a mix of commercial, residential, and retail uses.

Milltown Road (County Route 606) is classified as an Urban Minor Arterial roadway with a general eastwest orientation and is under the jurisdiction of Middlesex County. Along the site frontage, the roadway provides two (2) lanes of travel in each direction and has a posted speed limit of 35 mph . Curb is provided along both sides of the roadway, sidewalk is provided along the northerly side of the roadway, shoulders are not provided, and on-street parking is not permitted. Milltown Road provides east-west mobility throughout North Brunswick Township and surrounding municipalities and provides access to U.S. Route I to the east of the site for a mix of commercial, residential, and retail uses along its length.

Georges Road (NJSH I7I) is classified as an Urban Minor Arterial roadway with a general north-south orientation and is under the jurisdiction of NJDOT. The roadway generally provides one (I) lane of travel in each direction, with additional lanes provided at key intersections to facilitate turning movements. The roadway has a posted speed limit of 35 mph . Curb and sidewalk are provided along both sides of the roadway, shoulders are not provided, and on-street parking is not permitted. Georges Road provides north-south mobility throughout North Brunswick Township and provides access to U.S. Route I to the south and NJSH Route 18 to the north for a mix of commercial, residential, and retail uses along its length.

Milltown Road and Georges Road intersect to form a T-intersection controlled by a two (2)-phase traffic signal operating on a 90 -second background cycle. The westbound approach of Milltown Road provides one (I) exclusive left-turn lane and one (I) exclusive right-turn lane. The northbound approach of Georges Road provides one (I) exclusive through lane and one (I) exclusive right-turn lane and the southbound approach of Georges Road provides one (I) exclusive left-turn lane and one (I) exclusive through lane. Crosswalks, pedestrian signals, and pedestrian ramps are provided across the easterly and northerly legs of the intersection.

## 2023 EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Turning movement counts were collected during the typical weekday evening and Saturday midday time periods to evaluate existing traffic conditions and identify the specific hours when traffic activity on the adjacent roadways is at a maximum and could be potentially impacted by the development of the site. Turning movement
counts were collected at the intersection of Georges Road and Milltown Road. Specifically, turning movement counts were conducted on the following dates and during the following times:

- Thursday, October 12, 2023, from 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.
- Saturday, October 14, 2023, from II:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

The study time periods were chosen as they are representative of the peak periods of both the adjacent roadway network and the proposed development. It is noted that Spark Car Wash developments operate between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. To assess the time-of-day distribution, data from the Spark Car Wash located at 586 Cross Keys Road in Sicklerville, New Jersey was analyzed. Based on the average hourly car counts, approximately $5 \%$ of the daily vehicle traffic occurred between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m., which is the typical weekday morning roadway peak period. As such, weekday morning counts were not included within the analysis. A summary of the Spark Car Wash time of day distributions are appended within this report.

The traffic volume data was collected and analyzed to identify the design peak hour in accordance with HCM and ITE guidelines. Based on the review of the count data the weekday evening peak hour occurred from 5:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. and the Saturday midday peak hour occurred from 12:00 p.m. to I:00 p.m. The Technical Appendix contains a summary of the turning movement count data. The 2023 Existing weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hour volumes are summarized on appended Figure 2.

## 2023 EXISTING LOS/CAPACITY ANALYSIS

A Level of Service and Volume/Capacity analysis was conducted for the 2023 Existing Condition during the weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hours at the study intersection. Under the existing condition, the signalized intersection of Georges Road and Milltown Road is calculated to operate at overall Level of Service $C$ during the weekday evening peak hour and is calculated to operate at overall Level of Service $B$ during the Saturday midday peak hour.

## 2025 NO-BUILD CONDITION

## BACKGROUND GROWTH

The 2023 Existing Condition traffic volume data was grown to a future horizon year of 2025, which is a conservative estimate for when the proposed car wash is expected to be fully constructed. In accordance with industry guidelines, the existing traffic volumes at the study intersections were increased by $1.00 \%$ annually for two (2) years. The I.00\% background growth rate was obtained from the NJDOT Annual Background Growth Rate Table.

## OTHER PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

To evaluate the future traffic conditions, it is important to consider the potential site-generated traffic of other projects that could influence the traffic volume at the study intersections. Other planned development projects include those that are either in the entitlement process or have recently been approved for building permits in proximity to the proposed development. Based on consultations with the Township of North Brunswick municipal clerk, Lisa Russo, there are no planned development projects within the area of the subject site. As such, the application of the background growth rate would be adequate to account for background traffic growth.

## 2025 NO-BUILD TRAFFIC VOLUMES

The background growth rate was applied to the 2023 Existing Traffic Volumes to calculate the 2025 NoBuild Traffic Volumes for the weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hours. These volumes are summarized on appended Figure 3.

## 2025 NO-BUILD LOS/CAPACITY ANALYSIS

A Level of Service and Volume/Capacity analysis was also conducted for the 2025 No-Build Condition during the weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hours at the study intersection. The signalized intersection of Georges Road and Milltown Road is calculated to operate generally consistent with the findings of the Existing Condition during the weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hours.

## 2025 BUILD CONDITION

The site-generated traffic volume of the proposed car wash was estimated to identify the potential impacts of the project. For the purpose of this analysis, a complete project "build out" is assumed within two (2) years of the preparation of this study.

## TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation projections for the proposed automated car wash were prepared utilizing ITE's Trip Generation Manual, II ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Trip generation rates associated with Land Use 948 "Automated Car Wash" were cited for the 4,841 -square-foot car wash with one (I) tunnel. The ITE provides trip generation calculations based on several criteria, including number of wash tunnels and gross floor area of the facility. Table I provides the weekday evening and Saturday midday trip generation volumes associated with the proposed development in terms of number of wash tunnels and gross floor area.

## TABLE I - PROPOSEDTRIP GENERATION

|  | Weekday Evening |  |  | Saturday Midday |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |
| One (I) Wash Tunnel <br> Automated Car Wash <br> ITE Land Use 948 | 39 | 39 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 19 | 22 | 41 |
| 4,84I SF <br> Automated Car Wash <br> ITE Land Use 948 | 34 | 35 | 69 | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | 147 |
| Highest Trip Rate | 39 | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | 147 |

As shown in Table I, the proposed automated car wash is expected to generate 78 trips during the weekday evening peak hour (based on the number of tunnels) and 147 trips during the Saturday midday peak hour (based on gross floor area). To maintain a conservative analysis, the maximum trip generation for each peak hour was utilized in this report. Table 2 provides the anticipated trip generation of the proposed development using a mix of dimensional criteria.

TABLE 2 - PROPOSED TRIP GENERATION

|  | Weekday Evening |  |  | Saturday Midday |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |
| Automated Car Wash | 39 | 39 | 78 | 74 | 73 | 147 |
| ITE Land Use 948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TRIP ASSIGNMENT/DISTRIBUTION

The trips generated by the proposed development were distributed according to the existing travel pattern along the adjacent roadways and the access management plan of the site. The Site-Generated Traffic Volumes are illustrated on Figure 4.

## 2025 BUILD TRAFFIC VOLUMES

The site-generated trips were added to the 2025 No-Build Traffic Volumes to calculate the 2025 Build Traffic Volumes and are shown on appended Figure 5.

## 2025 BUILD LOS/CAPACITY ANALYSIS

A Level of Service and Volume/Capacity analysis was also conducted for the 2025 Build Condition during the weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hours at the study intersection and proposed site driveways. Appended Table AI compares the Existing, No-Build, and Build Conditions Level of Service and delay values.

The signalized intersection of Georges Road and Milltown Road is calculated to operate generally consistent with the findings of the No-Build Condition during the weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hours. The turning movements at the unsignalized intersection of Georges Road and the ingress site driveway are calculated to operate at Level of Service A during the weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hours. The turning movements at the unsignalized intersection of Georges Road and the egress site driveway are calculated to operate at Level of Service D or better during the weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hours. The turning movements at the unsignalized intersection of Milltown Road and the proposed right-in/right-out site driveway are calculated to operate at Level of Service B during the weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hours.

## QUEUING ANALYSIS

The queuing at the signalized intersection of Georges Road and Milltown Road was analyzed to assess the amount of time the site driveway along Georges Road was blocked by the southbound queue. Specifically, the southbound queue along Georges Road during the weekday evening time period was analyzed. Based on the analysis, the site driveway was un-obstructed by the Georges Road southbound queue for approximately $55 \%$ of time during the weekday evening time period. The weekday evening time period represents the highest volume of southbound traffic along Georges Road, and, as such, it is expected that the remaining hours throughout the weekday and Saturday would be un-obstructed for over $55 \%$ of the time. As such, the existing driveway configuration and spacing along Georges Road would be sufficient to facilitate left and right turns out of the site driveway. The existing egress driveway along Georges Road provides the maximum spacing distance from the Milltown Road traffic signal. The egress-only driveway along Georges Road would provide stacking for up to six (6) vehicles, which supports the maximum $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue of less than two (2) vehicles, and as such the driveway would have no impact on site operations. It is noted that the NJDOT granted a Letter of No Interest to maintain the existing driveway locations and movements along Georges Road on August 3I, 2023.

## SITE CIRCULATION/PARKING SUPPLY

A review was conducted of the proposed automated car wash using the Site Plan prepared by our office, dated November 14, 2023. In completing this review, particular attention was focused on the site access, circulation, and parking supply.

Under the proposed development program, a 4,84I-square-foot car wash with one (I)-tunnel would be constructed on the subject property. Access along Georges Road is proposed to remain via one (I) ingressonly driveway and one (I) egress-only driveway and access along Milltown Road is proposed via one (I) right-in/right-out driveway. The proposed car wash would be located on the easterly portion of the site with access
to the tunnel provided on the northerly side of the proposed building. Three (3) pay stations would be provided on the northerly portion of the site. Vehicles would enter the site via the Georges Road or Milltown Road driveway and travel in a clockwise direction to the pay station lanes and the car wash tunnel. Right-angle vacuum spaces would be provided on the central portion of the site to the west of the building and employee parking spaces would be provided on the westerly portion of the site. A trash enclosure would be provided on the northwesterly portion of the site.

Regarding the parking requirements for the proposed development, it is noted that the Township of North Brunswick Ordinance does not have specific parking requirements for car wash developments. The proposed development would provide five (5) employee parking spaces and 22 vacuum spaces, inclusive of one (I) ADA accessible vacuum space. The parking spaces would be nine (9) feet wide by 18 feet deep and the vacuum spaces would be 13 feet wide and 19 feet deep.

## CONCLUSIONS

This report was prepared to examine the potential traffic impact of the proposed car wash development. The analysis findings, which have been based on industry-standard guidelines, indicate that the proposed development would not have a significant impact on the traffic operations of the adjacent roadway network. The site driveways and on-site layout have been designed to provide for effective access to and from the subject property. The existing driveway configuration and spacing along Georges Road would be sufficient to facilitate left and right turns out of the site driveway. Based on the characteristics of the car wash, the parking supply would be sufficient to support this project.
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## TECHNICAL APPENDIX

LEVEL OF SERVICE/AVERAGE CONTROL DELAY CRITERIA

## LEVEL OF SERVICE IAVERAGE CONTROL DELAY CRITERIA

The ability of a roadway to effectively accommodate traffic demand is determined through an assessment of the volume-to-capacity ratio, delay and Level of Service of the lane group and/or intersection. The volume-to-capacity ratio is the ratio of traffic flow rate to capacity for a given transportation facility. As defined within the Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition (HCM), intersection delay is the total additional travel time experienced by drivers, passengers, or pedestrians as a result of control measures and interaction with other users of the facility, divided by the volume departing from the corresponding cross section of the facility. Level of service is a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, based on service measures such as speed and travel time, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort and convenience.

For an unsignalized intersection, LOS A indicates operations with delay less than 10 seconds per vehicle, while LOS F describes operations with delay in excess of 50 seconds per vehicle. For a signalized intersection, LOS A indicates operations with delay less than 10 seconds per vehicle and LOS F denotes operations with delay in excess of 80 seconds per vehicle.


Source: Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition

## STONEFIELD

Table AI: Comparative Level of Service (Delay) Table Township of North Brunswick, Middlesex County, New Jersey $\mathbf{X}(\mathrm{n})=$ Level of Service (seconds of delay)

| Intersection | Lane Group | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Saturday Midday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2023 Existing <br> Condition | 2025 No-Build Condition | 2025 Build <br> Condition | 2023 Existing <br> Condition | 2025 No-Build <br> Condition | 2025 Build <br> Condition |
| NJSH Route I7I \& Milltown Road | WB Left | D (49.3) | D (49.7) | D (50.0) | D (44.2) | D (44.5) | D (44.8) |
|  | WB Right | C (22.9) | C (22.6) | C (22.3) | C (27.1) | C (26.8) | C (26.2) |
|  | NB Through | B (18.8) | B (19.5) | C (20.3) | B (14.8) | B (15.3) | B (16.5) |
|  | NB Right | B (14.7) | B (15.2) | B (15.5) | B (12.2) | B (12.5) | B (13.1) |
|  | SB Left | B (15.0) | B (16.4) | B (18.5) | B (10.5) | B (11.2) | B (13.6) |
|  | SB Through | A (9.0) | A (9.4) | A (9.4) | A (6.9) | A (7.1) | A (7.4) |
|  | Overall | C (21.1) | C (21.6) | C (22.2) | B (17.8) | B (18.1) | B (18.8) |
| NJSH Route 171 \& Ingress Driveway | SB Left/Through |  |  | A (9.8) |  |  | A (9.8) |
| NJSH Route 171 \& Egress Driveway | WB Left/Right |  |  | D (27.4) |  |  | D (29.7) |
| Milltown Road \& Site Driveway | SB Right |  |  | B (10.9) |  |  | B (10.6) |

TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT DATA
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks, Buses and Single-Unit Trucks, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
ID: 1120852, Location: 40.467412, -74.453607, Site Code: 1
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All Movements
Directio


| Leg Direction | Route 171 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Route 171 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | Milltown Road Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | T | R | U | RR | App | Ped* | L | T | U | App | Ped* | L | R | U | RR | App | Ped* | Int |
| \% Lights | 98.8\% | 99.0\% | 0\% | 99.5\% | 99.0\% | - | 99.3\% | 98.9\% | 0\% | 99.1\% |  | 99.0\% | 98.9\% | 0\% | 99.0\% | 99.0\% |  | 99.0\% |
| Articulated Trucks | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  | 10 |
| \% Articulated Trucks | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | - | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0.1\% |  | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |  | 0.1\% |
| Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 30 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 43 | - | 11 | 26 | 0 | 37 |  | 17 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 36 |  | 116 |
| \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 1.1\% | 0.9\% | 0\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | - | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0\% | 0.8\% |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |  | 0.9\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | 61 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | 94.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | 93.8\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | 5.9\% | - | - | - | - | - | 6.2\% | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, RR: Right on red, T: Thru, U: U-Turn
Provided by: Imperial Traffic \& Data Collection
PO Box 4637, Cherry Hill, NJ, 08003, US

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Leg } \\ \text { Direction } \end{array}$ |  | Route 171 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Route 171 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | Milltown Road Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  | T | R | U | RR | App | Ped* | L | T | U | App | Ped* | L | R | U | RR | App | Ped* | Int |
|  | 2023-10-12 5:00PM | 133 | 41 | 0 | 33 | 207 | 2 | 85 | 112 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 88 | 62 | 0 | 21 | 171 | 6 | 575 |
|  | 5:15PM | 129 | 39 | 0 | 47 | 215 | 1 | 84 | 124 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 84 | 57 | 0 | 34 | 175 | 5 | 598 |
|  | 5:30PM | 99 | 48 | 0 | 38 | 185 | 0 | 87 | 122 | 0 | 209 | 2 | 96 | 52 | 0 | 34 | 182 | 1 | 576 |
|  | 5:45PM | 106 | 49 | 0 | 44 | 199 | 0 | 102 | 133 | 0 | 235 | 2 | 83 | 58 | 0 | 37 | 178 | 4 | 612 |
|  | Total | 467 | 177 | 0 | 162 | 806 | 3 | 358 | 491 | 0 | 849 | 4 | 351 | 229 | 0 | 126 | 706 | 16 | 2361 |
|  | \% Approach | 57.9\% | 22.0\% | 0\% | 20.1\% | - | - | 42.2\% | 57.8\% | 0\% | - |  | 49.7\% | 32.4\% | 0\% | 17.8\% | - |  |  |
|  | \% Total | 19.8\% | 7.5\% | 0\% | 6.9\% | 34.1\% | - | 15.2\% | 20.8\% | 0\% | 36.0\% |  | 14.9\% | 9.7\% | 0\% | 5.3\% | 29.9\% |  |  |
|  | PHF | 0.878 | 0.903 | - | 0.862 | 0.937 | - | 0.877 | 0.923 | - | 0.903 |  | 0.914 | 0.923 | - | 0.851 | 0.970 |  | 0.964 |
|  | Lights | 463 | 174 | 0 | 160 | 797 |  | 356 | 482 | 0 | 838 |  | 345 | 227 | 0 | 124 | 696 |  | 2331 |
|  | \% Lights | 99.1\% | 98.3\% | 0\% | 98.8\% | 98.9\% | - | 99.4\% | 98.2\% | 0\% | 98.7\% |  | 98.3\% | 99.1\% | 0\% | 98.4\% | 98.6\% |  | 98.7\% |
|  | Articulated Trucks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 5 |
|  | \% Articulated Trucks | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | - | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% |  | 0.2\% |
|  | Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 8 | - | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 |  | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 |  | 25 |
|  | \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 0.6\% | 1.7\% | 0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% |  | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 0\% | 1.1\% |  | 1.4\% | 0.9\% | 0\% | 0.8\% | 1.1\% | - | 1.1\% |
|  | Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |  |
|  | \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | 50.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 87.5\% |  |
|  | Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |  |
|  | \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | 50.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 12.5\% |  |

[^0]| Leg Direction |  | Route 171 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Route 171 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | Milltown R Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  | T | R | U | RR | App | Ped* | L | T | U | App | Ped* | L | R | U | RR | App | Ped* | Int |
|  | 2023-10-1412:00PM | 114 | 61 | 0 | 28 | 203 | 0 | 77 | 105 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 72 | 61 | 0 | 24 | 157 | 0 | 542 |
|  | 12:15PM | 95 | 52 | 0 | 24 | 171 | 0 | 79 | 109 | 0 | 188 | 2 | 68 | 44 | 0 | 27 | 139 | 0 | 498 |
|  | 12:30PM | 116 | 56 | 0 | 21 | 193 | 0 | 83 | 116 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 63 | 68 | 0 | 42 | 173 | 4 | 565 |
|  | 12:45PM | 127 | 38 | 0 | 24 | 189 | 0 | 84 | 105 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 78 | 56 | 0 | 18 | 152 | 1 | 530 |
|  | Total | 452 | 207 | 0 | 97 | 756 | 0 | 323 | 435 | 0 | 758 | 2 | 281 | 229 | 0 | 111 | 621 | 5 | 2135 |
|  | \% Approach | 59.8\% | 27.4\% | 0\% | 12.8\% | - |  | 42.6\% | 57.4\% | 0\% | - |  | 45.2\% | 36.9\% | 0\% | 17.9\% | - | - |  |
|  | \% Total | 21.2\% | 9.7\% | 0\% | 4.5\% | 35.4\% |  | 15.1\% | 20.4\% | 0\% | 35.5\% |  | 13.2\% | 10.7\% | 0\% | 5.2\% | 29.1\% | - |  |
|  | PHF | 0.890 | 0.848 | - | 0.866 | 0.931 |  | 0.961 | 0.938 | - | 0.952 |  | 0.901 | 0.842 | - | 0.661 | 0.897 |  | 0.945 |
|  | Lights | 442 | 207 | 0 | 97 | 746 | - | 320 | 433 | 0 | 753 |  | 280 | 228 | 0 | 111 | 619 |  | 2118 |
|  | \% Lights | 97.8\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 98.7\% | - | 99.1\% | 99.5\% | 0\% | 99.3\% |  | 99.6\% | 99.6\% | 0\% | 100\% | 99.7\% |  | 99.2\% |
|  | Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
|  | \% Articulated Trucks | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |
|  | Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | - | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 16 |
|  | \% Buses and Single-Unit Trucks | 2.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1.3\% | - | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0.5\% |  | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.3\% | - | 0.7\% |
|  | Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |  |
|  | \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% |  |
|  | Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
|  | \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - |

[^1]SPARK CAR WASH TIME OF DAY FACTORS

## Average Hourly Car Counts - 1 year

| Hour | Average Daily Useage |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{7} \mathbf{~ a m}$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{~ a m}$ | $5.27 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9} \mathbf{~ a m}$ | $7.46 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ a m}$ | $8.58 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1 ~ a m}$ | $9.57 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 ~ p m}$ | $9.98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{~ p m}$ | $9.93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 ~ p m}$ | $9.79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 ~ p m}$ | $9.97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{~ p m}$ | $9.49 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 ~ p m}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{~ p m}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{7} \mathbf{~ p m}$ | $8.08 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{~ p m}$ |  |

FIGURES






HIGHWAY CAPACITY ANALYSIS DETAIL SHEETS






| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement V | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | ' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 842 | 14 | 14 | 887 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 842 | 14 | 14 | 887 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 877 | 15 | 15 | 924 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1839 | 885 | 0 | 0 | 892 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 885 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 954 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 84 | 347 | - | - | 769 | - |
| Stage 1 | 407 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 377 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 82 | 347 | - | - | 769 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 82 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 407 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 369 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NB | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | - | 769 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | - | 0.019 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 0 | 9.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 中 | 中 $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 722 | 720 | 11 | 0 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 722 | 720 | 11 | 0 | 8 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | Stop |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 752 | 750 | 11 | 0 | 8 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Major2 | Minor2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 381 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 6.9 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 3.3 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | 623 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 623 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 10.9 |
| HCM LOS |  | $B$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBT |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 1 |  | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |  | R | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 815 | 26 | 26 | 813 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 815 | 26 | 26 | 813 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 858 | 27 | 27 | 856 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1782 | 872 | 0 | 0 | 885 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 872 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 910 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.4 | 6.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 91 | 353 | - | - | 773 | - |
| Stage 1 | 412 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 396 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 88 | 353 | - | - | 773 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 88 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 412 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 382 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0.3 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NB | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | - | 773 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | - | 0.035 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 0 | 9.8 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | H |  | 个 |  |  | 4中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 40 | 19 | 815 | 0 | 0 | 799 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 40 | 19 | 815 | 0 | 0 | 799 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 42 | 20 | 858 | 0 | 0 | 841 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1279 | 858 | 0 | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 858 | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 421 | - | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 中 | 中 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 660 | 634 | 22 | 0 | 14 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 660 | 634 | 22 | 0 | 14 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | Stop |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 695 | 667 | 23 | 0 | 15 |



| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 10.6 |
| HCM LOS |  | $B$ |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBT | WBT |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - |

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING DIRECTIVE

1228101
Page 1 of 2

Directive No. 326-20
Route NJ 171 and Milltown Road North Brunswick Township, Middlesex County

## 90-SECOND BACKGROUND CYCLE

Phase
Signal Indications
Time (Sec.)

## $\begin{array}{lllllll}1,2 & 3,4 & \underline{5} \quad \underline{6,7} & \underline{8,9} & \underline{10,11} & 12,13 & 14,15 \\ & \text { Vehicle Actuation }\end{array}$

| A) | Route NJ 171 Row | G | G | G | G | R | R | W | DW | 40-16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pedestrian Clearance | G | G | G | G | R | R | FDW | DW | 22 |
|  | Change | Y | Y | Y | Y | R | R | DW | DW | 4* |
|  | Clearance | R | R | R | R | R | R | DW | DW | 2 |
| B) | Milltown Road ROW | R | R/-G> | R | R | G | G | DW | DW | 7-24 |
|  | Change | R | $\mathrm{R} /-\mathrm{Y}>$ | R | R | Y | Y/-G>1 | DW | DW | 4 |
|  | Clearance | R | R | R | R | R | R/-G> ${ }^{2}$ | DW | DW | 2 |
| C) | Route NJ 171 SB Lead | R | R | G | G/<G- | R | R/-G> | DW | DW | 5-12 |
|  | Lead Clearance | R | R | G | $\mathrm{G} /<\mathrm{Y}$ - | R | $\mathrm{R} /-\mathrm{Y}>$ | DW | DW | 4 |

## Pedestrian Actuation

| A) | Route NJ 171 ROW | G | G | G | G | R | R | W | DW | 23-16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pedestrian Clearance | G | G | G | G | R | R | FDW | DW | 22 |
|  | Change | Y | Y | Y | Y | R | R | DW | DW | 4* |
|  | Clearance | R | R | R | R | R | R | DW | DW | 2 |
| B) | Milltown Road ROW | R | R/-G> | R | R | G | G | DW | W | 7 |
|  | Pedestrian Clearance | R | R/-G> | R | R | G | G | DW | FDW | 14 |
|  | Vehicle Extension | R | R/-G> | R | R | G | G | DW | DW | 3 |
|  | Change | R | R/-Y> | R | R | Y | Y/-G>1 | DW | DW | 4 |
|  | Clearance | R | R | R | R | R | R/-G> ${ }^{2}$ | DW | DW | 2 |
| C) | Route NJ 171 SB Lead | R | R | G | G/<G- | R | R/-G> | DW | DW | 5-12 |
|  | Lead Clearance | R | R | G | $\mathrm{G} /<\mathrm{Y}$ - | R | R/-Y> | DW | DW | 4 |
|  | mergency Flash | Y | Y | Y | Y | R | R | DARK | DARK | - |

*An offset of 0 seconds is measured from the beginning of yellow to Route NJ 171 traffic at this intersection.
The vehicular memories are to be disconnected and the vehicle extension set at 2 seconds.
The manual control cord is to be disconnected.
1

- To be " $Y$ " if Phase $C$ is skipped.

2 - To be " $R$ " if Phase $C$ is skipped.

# Upon pre-emption activation, the following numbers (in seconds) represent the directional time delay before the "Transition Into" sequence 

| Signalized |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Pre-Emption <br> Plan \#1 | Pre-Emption <br> Plan \#2 | Pre-Emption <br> Plan \#3 | Pre-Emption <br> Plan \#4 |
|  | Along Route 171 <br> (Georges Road) <br> N/B | Along Route 171 <br> (Georges Road) <br> S/B | Fire | Police |
| Route US 1 NB Ramp H - <br> Route US 130 | 10 | 35 | 15 (SB) | 15 (SB) |
| Route NJ 171 (Georges Road) - <br> Route US 1 SB Ramp F | 20 | 25 | 5 (SB) | 5 (SB) |
| Route NJ 171 (Georges Road) - <br> Cranbury Cross Rd./Pardun Rd. | 24 | 21 | $* 0$ <br> (EB Side Street) | ${ }^{* * 0 ~(S B)}$ |
| Route NJ 171 (Georges Road) - <br> Hermann Road | 31 | 14 | 7 (NB) | 7 (NB) |
| Route NJ 171 (Georges Road) - <br> Milltown Road | 35 | 10 | 11 (NB) | 11 (NB) |

## NOTES:

1. During the "Transition Into" pre-emption control, minimum green times, yellow change intervals, all-red clearance and pedestrian clearance (FDW) times must be satisfied. During the Route NJ 171 ROW phase, a maximum of 4 seconds of "Walk" time shall be guaranteed, following by the FDW, yellow change and all-red intervals.
2. Pre-emption control shall guarantee / hold 18 seconds of Fire / Emergency ROW. Both directions of Route NJ 171 shall remain green during pre-emption. A "Don't Walk" shall be displayed for all pedestrian indications.
3. During the "Transition Out" of pre-emption control, yellow change intervals and all-red clearance times must be satisfied. The controller shall exit to the side street following the normal sequence of phasing.
4. *Fire pre-emption run originates on the eastbound approach, since the firehouse is located on Cranbury Cross Road.
5. **Police pre-emption run originates from a southbound Route NJ 171 driveway located between Cranbury Cross Road and Hermann Road.

[^0]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, RR: Right on red, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^1]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, RR: Right on red, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

